IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | 8:07CR105 |
| VS. |) | ORDER |
| MARK ANTHONY HOLMES, |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

This matter is before the court on defendant's unopposed MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [11]. For good cause shown, I find that the motion should be granted. The defendant will be given the requested approximate 16-day extension. Pretrial Motions shall be filed by April 27, 2007.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Defendant's MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [11] is granted. Pretrial motions shall be filed on or before **April 27, 2007.**
 - 2. Defendant is ordered to file a waiver of speedy trial as soon as practicable.
- 3. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between April 11**, **2007 and April 27, 2007**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel required additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 13th day of April, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F.A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge